

Bijlage 2

Selectie van systematische reviews (SRs) op basis van relevantie (juiste onderzoeksvraag), aan de hand van drie items van de AMSTAR 1

	relevant	AMSTAR 1 *			preventie (P) / mucositis (M) / implantitis (I)	opmerkingen
		3	6	7		
1_Esposito_2012	+	+	+	+	I	
2_Sahrmann_2011	+	+	+	-		
3_Khoshkam_2013	+	+	+	0		wel genoemd, geen resultaat gegeven
4_Faggion_2014	+	+	+	+	I	
5_Javed_2013	+	+	+	-		
6_Heitz-Mayfield_2014	+	+	+	+	I	
7_Chan_2014	+	+	+	+	I	ROB in online supplement
8_Yan_2014	+	+	+	+	I	
9_Mailoa_2014	+	+	+	+	I	ROB in online supplement
10_Kotsakis_2014	+	+	+	+	I	ROB in appendix
11_Kotsovilis_2008	+	+	+	+	I	geen tabel met ROB, alleen beschrijving
12_Schwarz_2015	+	+	+	+	M	
13_Salvi_2015	+	+	+	+	P/M	
14_Ashnagar_2014	+	0	+	-		1 database gebruikt
15_Claffey_2008	+	-	+	-		1 database gebruikt
16_Daugela_2016	+	+	+	+	I	
17_Almeida_2016	+	+	+	-		
18_Faggion_2010	+	+	+	-		
19_Faggion_2013	+	+	+	+	I	
20_Faggion_2010	-	+	+	-		doel is om endpoints te classificeren
21_Faggion_2009	-	+	+	-		doel is test of dierstudies goed gerepliceerd worden in humane studies
22_Ghanem_2016	+	+	+	+	I	
23_Goudouri_2014	+	0	0	-		niet systematisch genoeg beschreven
24_Graziani_2012	+	+	+	+	P/M/I	
25_Klinge_2002	+	+	+	-		
26_Ramanauskaite_2016	+	+	+	+	I	
27_Mahato_2016	+	+	+	-		
28_Mellado_2013	+	0	+	-		
29_Meyle_2012	+	0	-	-		
30_Mishler_2014	+	-	-	-		
31_Muthukuru_2012	+	+	+	-		
32_Renvert_2012	+	+	+	-		
33_Renvert_2008	+	+	+	-		
34_Lee_2011	0	-	-	-		is een commentaar op review
35_baron_2000	+	0	+	-		geen zoekwoorden genoemd

36_Alsheri_2016	+	0	0	-		geen zoekwoorden, geen tabel
37_Esposito_2012	+	+	+	+		gebaseerd op onderzoek #1
38_Schwarz_2015	+	+	+	+	M/I	
39_Schwarz_2012	0	+	-	+		doel is bepalen van kwaliteit van studies
40_Schwarz_2015	+	+	+	+	M/I	
41_Suarez_2016	+	+	+	+	M/I	
42_Taschieri_2015	+	+	+	-		
43_Tastepe_2012	+	0	+	-		1 database gebruikt
44_Winkelhoff_2012	+	0	+	-		1 database gebruikt
45_Bidra	+	-	-	-		
46_Esposito_2008						niet gevonden, lijkt voorloper Esposito (2012)
47_Matthews_2014	+	-	-	-		
48_Natto_2015	+	+	+	+	I	ROB summier weergegeven
49_Renvert_2013						artikel niet gevonden, maar lijkt op basis van abstract niet te voldoen
50_Monje_2016	+	+	+	+	P	
51_Ramanauskaitė_2016						artikel niet gevonden, wordt opgevraagd
52_Salvi_2014	+	+	+	+	P	geen enkele studie gebruikt (geen RCTs)
53_Jepsen_2015	+	-	-	-		verslag consensusmeeting
54_Grusovin_2010	0	+	+	+	P	geen implantitis maar wel onderhoud implantaten
55_Louropoulou_2014	0	+	+	+	P	geen implantitis maar wel onderhoud implantaten
56_de Almeida_2017	+	+	+	-		
57_Costa	+	-	+	-		
58_Hultin	+	+	+	-		
59_Esposito_2003						voorloper van andere systematische review
60_Esposito_2004						voorloper van andere systematische review
61_Grusovin_2008						voorloper van andere systematische review
<p>3 Was a comprehensive literature search performed? At least two electronic sources should be searched. The report must include years and databases used (e.g., Central, EMBASE, and MEDLINE). Key words and/or MESH terms must be stated and where feasible the search strategy should be provided. All searches should be supplemented by consulting current contents, reviews, textbooks, specialized registers, or experts in the particular field of study, and by reviewing the references in the studies found. Note: If at least 2 sources + one supplementary strategy used, select 'yes' (Cochrane register/Central counts as 2 sources; a grey literature search counts as supplementary).</p> <p>6 Were the characteristics of the included studies provided? In an aggregated form such as a table, data from the original studies should be provided on the participants, interventions and outcomes. The ranges of characteristics in all the studies analyzed e.g., age, race, sex, relevant socioeconomic data, disease status, duration, severity, or other diseases should be reported. Note: Acceptable if not in table format as long as they are described as above.</p> <p>7 Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented? 'A priori' methods of assessment should be provided (e.g., for effectiveness studies if the author(s) chose to include only randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled studies, or allocation concealment as inclusion criteria); for other types of studies alternative items will be relevant. Note: Can include use of a quality scoring tool or checklist, e.g., Jadad scale, risk of bias, sensitivity analysis, etc., or a description of quality items, with some kind of result for EACH study ('low' or 'high' is fine, as long as it is clear which studies scored 'low' and which scored 'high'; a summary score/range for all studies is not acceptable).</p>						

Resultaat:

3 studies over preventie, 2 studies over peri-implantaire mucositis, 13 studies over peri-implantitis, 1 studie over peri-implantaire mucositis en preventie, 3 studies over peri-implantaire mucositis en peri-implantitis, 1 studie over preventie, peri-implantaire mucositis en peri-implantitis. In totaal 23 studies.